



Marine Life Protection Act Initiative



Science Guidelines and Evaluation Methods North Central Coast Study Region

Presentation to the
MLPA Blue Ribbon Task Force and the California Fish and Game Commission
February 13, 2008 • Pacifica, CA
Prepared by Dr. Steven Gaines



Master Plan Science Advisory Team



MLPA goals



Science guidelines for MPA design




Evaluation Methods



MLPA Goals


1. To protect the natural diversity and function of **marine ecosystems**.
2. To help sustain and restore **marine life populations**.
3. To improve **recreational, educational, and study opportunities** in areas with minimal human disturbance.
4. To protect representative and unique **marine life habitats**.
5. Clear objectives, effective management, adequate enforcement, sound science.
6. To ensure that MPAs are designed and managed as a **network**.





MLPA Goals: Habitats

1. To protect the natural diversity and function of **marine ecosystems**.
2. To help sustain and restore **marine life populations**.
3. To improve **recreational, educational, and study opportunities** in areas with minimal human disturbance.
4. To protect representative and unique **marine life habitats**.
5. Clear objectives, effective management, adequate enforcement, sound science.
6. To ensure that MPAs are designed and managed as a **network**.





SAT Guidelines: Goals 1 and 4

MLPA Goals:

- 1) Protect natural diversity and function of marine ecosystems*
- 4) Protect representative and unique marine life habitats*

SAT Approach



-  Refined key habitats for NCCSR
-  Defined biogeographic subregions
-  Refined and described level of protection designations
-  Evaluated habitat representation in MPAs



SAT Guidelines: Goals 1 and 4

Identified Key Habitats Using:

- Bottom Type and Depth Categories
- Biogenic Habitats
- Oceanographic Features





SAT Guidelines: Goals 1 and 4

Key Marine Habitats

Seafloor Habitats

- Rocky reefs
- Intertidal zones
- Sandy or soft ocean bottoms
- Underwater pinnacles
- Submarine canyons

Biogenic Habitats

- Kelp forests
- Seagrass beds

Depth Zones

- Intertidal
- Intertidal to 30 m
- 30 to 100 m
- 100 to 200 m
- 200 m and deeper

Oceanographic Habitats

- Upwelling areas
- Freshwater plumes
- Retention zones



SAT Guidelines: Goals 1 and 4

Used GIS to Locate Habitats




Identified geographic distribution



Estimated area of each habitat type for study area and subregions



Estimated area or linear extent of habitat in each MPA



SAT Guidelines: Goals 1 and 4




Three subregions

- North (Pt. Reyes – Pt. Arena)
- South (Pigeon Pt. to Pt. Reyes)
- Farallon Islands



Based upon

- Species and community distributions
- Geomorphology
- Oceanography





SAT Guidelines: Levels of Protection

Designated levels of protection based on potential impacts of proposed activities



direct impacts

- habitat damage
- incidental removal or mortality of non-target species



indirect impacts

- potential ecosystem effects caused by removing target or associated catch species



SAT Guidelines: Levels of Protection

The Question:

“Would there be a difference between ecosystems within an MPA that prohibits take of this species versus an area outside of the MPA where take is allowed?”

Yes if:

-  habitat is damaged
-  many species are removed
-  removed species play an important role in the resident ecosystem (predator, prey, competitor etc.)

No if:

-  no habitat damage
-  little associated catch
-  species removed are highly mobile so MPAs won't change local abundance



SAT Guidelines: Levels of Protection

	Level of Protection	MPA Types	Activities associated with this protection level
	Very high	SMR	No take
	High	SMCA	salmon (troll H&L in water greater than 50m depth), sardine, anchovy, and herring (pelagic seine)
	Mod-high	SMCA	salmon (troll H&L in water less than 50m depth)*, Dungeness crab (traps/pots), squid (pelagic seine)
	Moderate	SMCA SMP	salmon (non-troll H&L), abalone (diving), halibut, white seabass, striped bass, shore-based finfish and flatfishes (H&L), clams (hand harvest), giant kelp (hand harvest)
	Low-mod	SMCA SMP	Urchin (diving), lingcod, cabezon, greenling, rockfish, and other reef fish (H&L), surfperches (H&L)
	Low	SMCA SMP	bull kelp and mussels (any method), all trawling, giant kelp (mechanical harvest)

* Note SAT (1/23/08) assigned this activity a “high/mod-high” LOP



Evaluation: Habitat Representation

Key Questions for Each Proposed Package


1. How well are key habitat types represented in proposed MPA packages?
2. What are the proposed levels of protection for these habitat types?
3. How well are habitats and levels of protection distributed across the study region?



Evaluation: Habitat Representation

Example of how habitat representation is evaluated and presented to stakeholders


For some habitats, there are strong differences between proposals in the amount of habitat represented in MPAs and the levels of protection





How Much Habitat is Needed?

For a habitat to count in an MPA



Should be sufficient to encompass most of the species that live in the habitat



Survey data shows how more area captures more species



SAT determined that area should be sufficient to capture 90% of biodiversity



How much Habitat is needed?


The amount needed varies by habitat

Habitat	Representation needed to encompass 90% of biodiversity	Data Source
Rocky Intertidal	~0.6 linear miles	PISCO Biodiversity
Shallow Rocky Reefs/Kelp Forests (0-30 M)	~1.1 linear miles	PISCO Subtidal
Deep Rocky Reefs (30-100 M)	~0.2 square miles	Starr surveys
Sandy Habitat (30-100 M)	~10 square miles	NMFS triennial trawl surveys 1977-2007
Sandy Habitat (0-30 M)	~1.1 linear miles	Based on shallow rocky reefs
Sandy Beaches	~ 1 linear mile	



MLPA Goals: Populations

1. To protect the natural diversity and function of **marine ecosystems**.
2. To help sustain and restore **marine life populations**.
3. To improve **recreational, educational, and study opportunities** in areas with minimal human disturbance.
4. To protect representative and unique **marine life habitats**.
5. Clear objectives, effective management, adequate enforcement, sound science.
6. To ensure that MPAs are designed and managed as **a network**.





Master Plan Guidelines



Size Guideline #1: “For an objective of protecting adult populations, **based on adult neighborhood sizes** and movement patterns, MPAs should have an **alongshore span of 5-10 km (3-6 mi)** of coastline, and **(6-12.5 mi)**. Larger MPAs would be suitable for marine birds, mammals, and migratory fish.”

**Minimum size =
9 square miles**



Size Guideline #2: “For an objective of protecting the diversity of species that live at different depths, MPAs should accommodate the movement of invertebrates and fish. **shallow nursery or spawning grounds to adult habitats offshore, MPAs should extend from the intertidal zone to deep waters offshore.**”

**Preferable size =
18 - 38 square miles**

SAT Guidelines: Goals 2 and 6

0 – 1 km	1 – 10 km	10 – 100 km	100 – 1000 km	> 1000 km
<p>Invertebrates abalone, mussel, octopus, sea star, snail, urchin</p> <p>Rockfishes black & yellow brown, copper, gopher, grass,* kelp, quillback, starry, treefish, vermillion</p> <p>Other Fishes cabezon, eels, greenlings, giant seabass, black, striped, and pile perch, pricklebacks</p>	<p>Rockfishes black, China, greenspotted,* olive, yelloweye</p> <p>Other Fishes walleye perch*</p> 	<p>Invertebrates Dung. crab**</p> <p>Rockfishes blue, bocaccio, yellowtail</p> <p>Other Fishes anchovy, Ca. halibut, herring, lingcod, sardine, starry flounder</p> <p>Birds gulls, cormorants</p> <p>Mammals harbor seal, otter</p>	<p>Rockfishes canary</p> <p>Fishes big skate Pacific halibut sablefish** salmonids** sole spp. sturgeon whiting**</p> <p>Birds gulls**</p> <p>Mammals porpoises sea lions**</p>	<p>Invertebrates jumbo squid**</p> <p>Fishes sharks** tunas**</p> <p>Turtles**</p> <p>Birds albatross** pelican** shearwater** shorebirds** terns**</p> <p>Mammals dolphins sea lions** whales**</p>

* Studies of this species included fewer than 10 individuals

** Seasonal Migration



Size Analysis Methods



Measure individual MPA lengths and area



Combine contiguous MPAs into single MPA complexes



Consider level of protection




Tabulate MPA lengths and areas relative to minimum & preferred guidelines



Evaluation: Size

Cluster Size at High Protection






Master Plan Guidelines



Spacing Guideline: “For an objective of facilitating dispersal of important bottom-dwelling fish and invertebrate groups among MPAs, **based on currently known scales of larval dispersal, MPAs should be placed within 50-100 km (31-62 m or 27-54 nm) of each other.**”

SAT Guidelines – Goals 2 and 6



- **Size:**
 - 5-10 km, minimum
 - 10-20 km, preferred
 - Intertidal to deep waters

- **Spacing:**
 - 50 – 100 km apart

Size and spacing are interrelated

Data from Kinlan and Gaines 2003, PISCO 2007



Spacing Analysis Methods



Characterize each MPA by the habitats included




For each habitat, measure the gaps between adjacent, high protection MPAs



Evaluation: Spacing

Maximum Gaps at High Protection





MPA Replication Guidelines

Replication Guidelines:



“‘Key’ marine habitats should be replicated in multiple MPAs across large environmental and geographic gradients to protect the greater diversity of species and communities that occur across such gradients, and to protect species from local year-to-year fluctuations in larval production and recruitment.”



“For an objective of providing analytical power for management comparisons and to buffer against catastrophic loss of an MPA, at least **three to five replicate MPAs** should be designed for each habitat type within a biogeographical region.”



Evaluation: Habitat Replication

To count as a replicate, the MPA must


1. Meet the desired level of protection
2. Meet size guidelines (9 sq mi) – estuarine MPAs are the exception to this rule
3. Contain enough of the habitat to encompass 90% of biodiversity

Note: The biogeographic region is Oregon to Point Conception



Evaluation: Habitat Replication

Replication at High Protection





Evaluation: Replication

Replication can inform adaptive management

-  Comparing a marine reserve (no take) to an MPA that allows one activity can provide insights about the impact of that activity on marine ecosystems
-  For study purposes, the MPAs should be located in similar habitats and in the same subregion
-  Multiple points of comparison (replicates) increases analytical power



Evaluation: Birds and Mammals

Consider:



Breeding Colonies/Rookeries

Abundance and percentage of subregional populations within proposed MPAs



Haul-outs/Roosts

Number of major roosts/haul outs within proposed MPAs



Foraging areas

Focus on species with limited foraging ranges.


Considered overlap of draft proposal with foraging areas near colonies (near = 3 mi alongshore x 1 mi offshore)

Weighted analysis based on colony size and foraging area of overlap within proposed MPAs.




SAT Parallel Approaches Group

- Size and spacing guidelines come from simple models informed by data




larval dispersal profile






SAT Parallel Approaches Group

- Habitats are patchy
- Packages do not have MPAs of uniform size and spacing
- Costs depend on how marine species and humans respond







Different Species, Different Views





SAT Parallel Approaches Group



- Two new complementary modeling efforts
 - UC Davis
 - EDOM
- Model package impacts on abundance/sustainability and yield
- Multiple species





New Model Insights

- Estimate costs and benefits of packages
- Combine impacts of size and spacing
- Evaluate consequences of different human actions outside MPAs





Conclusions



MLPA goals and MPF guidelines remain the same across study regions



Evaluations are tailored to the specific study region
(eg. some habitats not present, presence of offshore islands)



The NCC SAT has refined evaluation methods with additional science

- levels of protection
- minimum habitat to count
- evaluation of birds and mammals
- new ways to present evaluations
- new insights from models